U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

IMMIGRATION SERVICE

IN REPLYING REFER TO

11425/A

U. S. COMMISSIONER OF IMMIGRATION
MONTREAL, CANADA

Feb. 6, 1929

Commissioner General of Immigration Washington, D. C.

FEB 1 3 1929 MAR 2 7 192

FEB 1 4 1929

FEB 2 3 1929

Bureau letter No. 55409/General of January 15th has been discussed with the Assistant Superintendent of Border Patrol and I beg to enclose his report on the qualifications of patrol inspectors who have passed the examination for immigrant inspector. In asking formally for a report I said:

"But, as I said January 18th, I do not want any patrol inspector 'kicked up stairs' to get him out of the Patrol Service. Nor can we afford to recommend patrol inspectors for appointment as immigrant inspectors simply as a reward of merit. The men who are to be recommended must be only those who will make good as immigrant inspectors. The requirements for the two positions are not the same and a man who makes good in one service may not necessarily make good in the other. It would be far better for him personally, and for the service, for him to remain in the Patrol Service. While most of he patrol inspectors who were promoted have made good, there are a few unfortunate instances which have kept down the average and have been very embarrassing.

So please make our report with the greatest care and submit it in triplicate."

I do not concur in the recommendation to appoint Patrol Inspectors
George D. Gaffield and Wallace K. Williams. Inspector Gaffield told me
today that he expects to leave the service in the near future to engage in
business. Inspector Williams has applied for a transfer to the Customs
Patrol, presumably on account of the initial salary there being \$2100.

I do not concur in the recommendation to appoint Patrol Inspector

Martin Kutner. While he has many good qualities, a "hard boiled" inspecto
causes too much friction with the public. We are trying to place inspecto.

Where their character and ability will give a maximum of efficiency with a
minimum of trouble, but there is a limit to our ability to make entirely
satisfactory placements.

Jile min

ato

Feb. 6, 1929

I concur in the recommendations not to appoint Inspectors Walter W. Buckley, Albert T. Yarrington and Dennis J. Ryan. I do this with much regret in Inspector Buckley's case, because he is a very energetic officer and has done much good work. Inspector Ryan has been under investigation recently, following a complaint that he was taking liquor into the United States or permitting it to be taken in; this investigation has not been completed.

to be a sold January 19th, I do not work any proved today of A country on

months for the statistical are not the smallest and the last

303

600

419

DRSunai

HRL: sn Encl. 68149 H. R. Landis Commissioner